

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG5 N1451

Further results of the WG5 interpretation ballot

In addition to the interpretations which passed unanimously, the following interpretations are all declared to have passed:

21, 81, 87, 90, 92.

In each case the reasons given by the No votes contained no new technical information which should cause the interpretation to be rejected. In the case of interpretation 87, J3 is encouraged to fine-tune the words for Fortran 2002 for IEEE compatibility.

Interpretation 91 is deemed to have failed, as the edit supplied with the No vote appears to be more understandable than the current edit. However, this new edit itself has a mistake, so the interpretation should be sent back to J3 for further consideration.