

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22  
Programming Languages

**Document Type:** Summary of Voting

**Document Title:** Summary of Voting on SC 22 N 4435, Technical Corrigenda 4 for ISO/IEC 1539-1: 2004, Programming languages - Fortran

**Document Source:** SC 22 Secretariat

**Document Status:** As per the results of this ballot, the corrigenda has passed. WG 5 is instructed to take the comments received into consideration, provide a disposition of comments, and if necessary, a revised document to the SC 22 Secretary which will be submitted to ITTF for publication.

**Action ID:** FYI

**Due Date:**

**No. of Pages:** 6

## Result of voting

### Ballot Information:

<b>Ballot reference:</b>	SC22-N-4435
<b>Ballot type:</b>	DCOR
<b>Ballot title:</b>	<b>Technical Corrigenda 4 for ISO/IEC 1539-1:2004, Programming languages - Fortran</b>
<b>Opening date:</b>	2009-03-18
<b>Closing date:</b>	2009-06-18
<b>Note:</b>	<b>This document is circulated for ballot. Please see the defect report contained in SC 22 N 4434 and submit your vote via the online balloting system by the due date indicated.</b>

### Member responses:

<b>Votes cast (18)</b>	Austria (ON) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) Ukraine (DSSU) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
<b>Comments submitted (1)</b>	Korea, Dem. P. Rep. of (CSK)
<b>Votes not cast (1)</b>	Russian Federation (GOST R)

### Questions:

<b>Q.1</b>	"Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text"
<b>Q.2</b>	"IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be

	accepted?"
--	------------

Answers to Q.1: "Do you agree with approval of the DCOR Text"		
8 x	<b>Abstention</b>	Austria (ON) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV)
6 x	<b>Approval as presented</b>	China (SAC) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Ukraine (DSSU)
4 x	<b>Disapproval of the draft</b>	Canada (SCC) Japan (JISC) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
0 x	<b>Approval with comments</b>	

Answers to Q.2: "IF you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"		
13 x	<b>Ignore</b>	Austria (ON) China (SAC) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) Ukraine (DSSU)
5 x	<b>Yes</b>	Canada (SCC) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
0 x	<b>No</b>	

Comments from Voters

Member:	Comment:	Date:
<b>Canada (SCC)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-06-08 14:12:27
<a href="#">CommentFiles/Canada(SCC).doc</a>		
<b>Japan (JISC)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-06-16 10:02:00
<a href="#">CommentFiles/Japan(JISC).doc</a>		
<b>United Kingdom (BSI)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-05-18 15:12:55
<a href="#">CommentFiles/UnitedKingdom(BSI).doc</a>		
<b>USA (ANSI)</b>	<b><i>Comment File</i></b>	2009-05-22 19:43:31
<a href="#">CommentFiles/USA(ANSI).rtf</a>		

<b>Comments from Commenters</b>		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
<b>Korea, Dem. P. Rep. of (CSK)</b>	<b><i>Comment</i></b>	2009-05-22 04:05:04
Aproval as presented.		

**Template for comments and secretariat observations**

Date:2009-06-29

Document: ISO\_1539

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CA						
GB	subclause 12.4		e	The edit to subclause 12.4 applies a syntactic constraint to a condition that cannot be checked syntactically. It should be replaced.	Replace by:  Following constraint C1224, insert the following paragraph (where <...> indicates italic type):  The <data-ref> in a <procedure-designator> shall not be an unallocated allocatable variable or a pointer that is not associated.	
Japan	The change for Subclause 12.4		Technical	The change for Subclause 12.4 proposes to add a sentence to a constraint. The required condition cannot be checked by the processor. It should therefore be ordinary normative text.		
CA	Subclause 12.4  Subclause 16.5.6			1. The change for Subclause 12.4 proposes to add a sentence to a constraint. The required condition cannot be checked by the processor. It should therefore be ordinary normative text.  2. The change for list item (11) in Subclause 16.5.6 uses the term "successful allocation of an ALLOCATE statement". This should be "successful execution of an ALLOCATE statement".  Canada considers that these changes can be handled editorially, and if made will change its		

**Template for comments and secretariat observations**

Date:2009-06-29

Document: ISO\_1539

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)</b>	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)</b>	<b>Type of comm ent<sup>2</sup></b>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	<b>Secretariat observations on each comment submitted</b>
				vote to yes without a rebalot of the TR.		
GB	subclause 16.5.6		e	Uses the phrase "Successful allocation of an ALLOCATE statement"	What is meant is "Successful execution of an ALLOCATE statement".	
Japan	The change for Subclause 16.5.6, (11)		Editorial	The change for list item (11) in Subclause 16.5.6 uses the term "successful allocation of an ALLOCATE statement". This should be "successful execution of an ALLOCATE statement".		