

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG5 N1915

Fortran Annex to TR 24772, Guidance to  
Avoiding Vulnerabilities in  
Programming Languages through Language  
Selection and Use

WG5 Vulnerabilities Subgroup

**Annex Fortran**  
*(Informative)*  
**Vulnerability descriptions for language Fortran**

## **1 Programming Language Fortran**

### **Fortran.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This section will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.1 Identification of Standards**

The International Standard Programming Language Fortran is defined by ISO/IEC JTC1 1539-1 (2010).

### **Fortran.2 General Terminology and Concepts**

#### **Fortran.2.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

## Fortran.2.1 About Fortran

The Fortran standard is written in terms of a *processor* which includes the language translator (that is, compiler or interpreter, and supporting libraries), the operating system (for example, how files are stored or which files are available to a program), and the hardware (for example, the machine representation of numbers or the availability of a clock). The Fortran standard specifies the contents of files and how the contents of files are interpreted. The standard does not place requirements on the size or complexity of a program that may cause a processor to fail.

A program conforms to the Fortran standard if it uses only forms specified by the standard, and does so with the interpretation given by the standard. A procedure is standard-conforming if it may be included in an otherwise standard-conforming program in a way that is standard conforming.

The Fortran standard allows a processor to support features not defined by the standard, provided such features do not contradict the standard. Use of such features, called *extensions*, should be shunned. Processors are able to detect and report the use of extensions.

Annex B.1 of the Fortran standard lists six features of Fortran 90 that have been deleted because they were redundant and considered largely unused. Although no longer part of the standard, they are supported by most compilers to allow old programs to continue to run. Annex B.1 lists ten features of Fortran 90 that are regarded as obsolescent because they are redundant - better methods were available in the current standard. The obsolescent features are described in the standard using a small font. The use of any deleted or obsolescent feature should be shunned. It should be replaced by a modern counterpart for greater clarity and reliability. Processors are able to detect and report the use of these features.

The Fortran standard defines a set of intrinsic procedures, and allows a processor to extend this set with further procedures. A program that uses an intrinsic procedure not defined by the standard is not standard-conforming. Use of intrinsic procedures not defined by the standard should be shunned. Processors must be able to detect and report the use of intrinsics not defined by the standard.

The Fortran standard does not completely specify the effects of programs in some situations, but rather allows the processor to employ any of several alternatives. These alternatives are called *processor dependencies* and are summarized in Annex A of the standard. The programmer should not rely for

program correctness on a particular alternative being chosen by a processor. In general, the representation of quantities, the results of operations, and the results of the calculations performed by intrinsic procedures are all processor-dependent approximations of their respective exact mathematical equivalent.

Although strenuous efforts have been made, and are ongoing, to ensure that the Fortran standard provides an interpretation for all Fortran programs, circumstances may arise where the standard fails to do so. If the standard fails to provide an interpretation for a program, its behavior is *undefined*.

Generally, processors are required to detect errors during translation only, and not during execution of a program. It is the responsibility of the programmer to adhere to the Fortran standard. Many processors offer debugging aids to assist with this task. For example, most processors support options to report when, during execution, an array index is found to be out-of-bounds in an array reference.

Generally, the Fortran standard is written as specifying what a correct program produces as output, and not how such output is actually produced. That is, the standard specifies that a program executes *as if* certain actions occur in a certain order, but not that such actions actually occur. A means other than Fortran (for example, a debugger) might be able to detect such particulars, but not a standard-specified means (for example, a print statement).

The values of data objects that are guaranteed to be represented correctly are specified in terms of a bit model, an integer model, and a floating point model. Inquiry intrinsic procedures return values that describe the model rather than any particular hardware.

Interoperability of Fortran program units with program units written in other languages is defined in terms of a *coprocessor*. A Fortran processor is its own coprocessor, and may have other coprocessors as well. The interoperation of Fortran program units is defined as if the coprocessor is defined by the C programming language.

Fortran is an inherently parallel programming language, with program execution consisting of one or more replications, called *images*, of the program. The standard makes no requirements of how many images exist for any program, nor the mechanism of inter-image communication. Inquiry intrinsic procedures exist to allow a program to detect the number of images in use, and which replication a particular image represents.

## Fortran.2.2 Fortran Definitions

The following definitions are taken from the Fortran standard.

**processor** combination of computing system and mechanism by which programs are transformed for use on that computing system

**type** named category of data characterized by a set of values, a syntax for denoting these values, and a set of operations that interpret and manipulate the values

## Fortran.3 Type System [IHN]

### Fortran.3.0 Status, History and Bibliography

This will be removed before publication.

### Fortran.3.1 Applicability to Fortran

The Fortran type system is a strong type system consisting of the type, the kind, and in most circumstances, the rank, of a data object. The kind of an entity is a parameterization of the type. Objects of the same type that differ in the value of their kind parameter(s) differ in representation, and therefore in the limits of the values they may represent. A processor must support two kinds of type real and must support a complex kind for every real kind. A processor must support at least one integer kind with a range of  $10^{18}$  or greater.

The compatible types in Fortran are the numeric types: integer, real, and complex. No coercion exists between type logical and any other type, nor between type character and any other type. Among the numeric types, coercion may result in a loss of information or a wrong result. For example, if a double-precision real is assigned to a single-precision real, roundoff is likely; and if an integer variable with a large value is assigned to an integer variable whose range do not include the value, the result is wrong.

An example of coercion in Fortran is (assuming `rkp` is a suitable real kind parameter):

```
real( kind= rkp) :: a
integer :: i
a = a + i
```

which is automatically treated as if it were:

```
a = a + real( i, kind= rkp)
```

Objects of derived types are considered to have the same kind when their type definitions are the same actual text (which may be distributed among program units by module use). (Sequence types represent a narrow exception to this rule but they are not generally used because they cannot be extended and cannot interoperate with types defined by a coprocessor.)

Derived types may also have kind parameters and these kind parameters may apply to the derived type's components. Default assignment of variables of the same derived type is component-wise. Default assignment may be overridden by an explicitly coded assignment procedure. Type changing assignments and conversion procedures must be explicitly coded by the programmer. Operators applied to derived types must be explicitly coded by the programmer. These explicitly coded procedures can contain whatever checks are needed.

In addition to the losses mentioned in Clause 6, assignment of a complex entity to a real entity results in the loss of the imaginary part.

### **Fortran.3.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Programmers should obtain kind values based on the needed range for integer types via the `selected_int_kind` intrinsic procedure, and based on the range and precision needed for real and complex types via the `selected_real_kind` intrinsic procedure. These procedures may be used in declarations or in setting the value of named constants that may be used throughout a program for consistency (and which may be distributed among program units by module use).
- Programmers can use explicit conversion intrinsics for conversions, even when the conversion is within one type and is only a change of kind. Doing so alerts the maintenance programmer to the fact of the conversion, and that it is intentional.

- Programmers can use inquiry intrinsic procedures to learn the limits of a variable's representation and thereby take care to avoid exceeding those limits.
- Programmers can use derived types to prevent unwanted conversions.
- Use compiler options when available to detect during execution when a loss of information occurs.

## **Fortran.4 Bit Representations [STR]**

### **Fortran.4.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.4.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran defines bit positions by a *bit model* described in 13.3 of the standard. Care must be taken to understand the mapping between an external definition of the bits (for example, a control register) and the bit model. The programmer can rely on the bit model regardless of endian, or other hardware peculiarities.

Fortran allows constants to be defined by binary, octal, or hexadecimal digits, collectively called *BOZ constants*. These values may be assigned to named constants thereby providing a name for a mask.

Fortran provides access to individual bits within a storage unit by bit manipulating intrinsic procedures. Of particular use, double-word shift procedures are provided to extract bit fields crossing storage unit boundaries.

The bit model does not provide an interpretation for negative integer values. There are distinct shift intrinsic procedures to include, or not include, the sign bit.

### **Fortran.4.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the intrinsic procedure `bit_size` to determine the size of the bit model supported by the kind of integer in use.

- Be aware that the Fortran standard uses the term “left-most” to refer to the highest-order bit, and the term “left” to mean towards (as in `shiftl`), or from (as in `maskl`), the highest-order bit.
- Be aware that the Fortran standard uses the term “right-most” to refer to the lowest-order bit, and the term “right” to mean towards (as in `shiftr`), or from (as in `maskr`), the lowest-order bit.
- Shun bit constants made by adding integer powers of two in favor of those created by the bit intrinsic procedures or encoded by BOZ constants.
- Use bit intrinsic procedures to operate on individual bits and bit fields, especially those that occupy more than one storage unit. Choose shift intrinsic procedures cognizant of the need to affect the sign bit, or not.
- Create objects of derived type to hide use of bit intrinsic procedures within defined operators and to separate those objects subject to arithmetic operations from those objects subject to bit operations.

## **Fortran.5 Floating-point Arithmetic [PLF]**

### **Fortran.5.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.5.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran supports floating-point data. All floating point values are processor-dependent approximations. Different rounding modes may be in effect during translation and execution, and during execution of arithmetic operations and formatted input/output conversions.

Unless the IEEE intrinsic modules are in use, the format of the floating-point representation need not be that specified by IEEE 754. When the IEEE intrinsic modules are in use, the representation may vary during execution, for example, when registers of varying sizes hold an operand as data flows through the stages of the processor’s pipelines.

Fortran provides intrinsic procedures to give values describing the limits of any representation method in use, and to provide access to the components of a floating point quantity, and to set the components.

## **Fortran.5.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use procedures from a trusted library to perform calculations where floating-point accuracy is needed. Understand the use of the library procedures and test the diagnostic status values returned to ensure the calculation proceeded as expected.
- Do not create a logical value from a test for equality or inequality involving floating-point expressions. Use compiler options where available to detect such usage.
- Do not use floating-point variables as loop indices; use integer variables instead. A floating-point value may be computed from the integer loop variable as needed.
- When needed, use intrinsic inquiry procedures to determine the limits of the representation in use.
- When the parts of a floating-point value are needed, or are to be set separately of the entire value, use intrinsic procedures to provide the needed functionality.
- When the IEEE intrinsic modules are in use, use the intrinsic module procedures to determine the limits of the processor's conformance to IEEE 754, and to determine the limits of the representation in use.
- When the IEEE intrinsic modules are in use, use the intrinsic module procedures to detect and control the available rounding modes and exception flags.

## **Fortran.6 Enumerator Issues [CCB]**

### **Fortran.6.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.6.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran provides enumeration values for interoperation with C programs that use C enums. Their use is expected most often to occur when a C enum appears in the function prototype whose interoperation requires a Fortran interface.

The Fortran enumeration values are integer constants of the correct kind to interoperate with the corresponding C enum. The Fortran variables to be assigned the enumeration values are of type integer and the correct kind to interoperate with C variables of C type enum.

### **Fortran.6.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Enumeration values in Fortran should be limited to use when interoperating with C procedures that have enumerations as formal parameters and/or return enumeration values as function results.
- Take care to ensure the interoperability of the C and Fortran definitions of the enum type.
- Take care to ensure that the correct coprocessor has been identified, including any compiler options that affect enum definitions.
- Do not use variables assigned enumeration values in arithmetic operations, or to receive the results of arithmetic operations if subsequent use will be as an enumerator.

## **Fortran.7 Numeric Conversion Errors [FLC]**

### **Fortran.7.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.7.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran processors must support two kinds of type real and must support a complex kind for every real kind. A processor must support at least one

integer kind with a range of  $10^{18}$  or greater and most processors support at least one integer kind with a smaller range.

Automatic conversion among these types is allowed.

## **Fortran.7.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the kind selection intrinsic procedures to select sizes of variables supporting the required operations and values. Check that values from untrusted sources are checked against the limits provided by the inquiry intrinsics for the type and kind of variable in use.
- Where necessary, use the inquiry intrinsic procedures to supply the extreme values that a data object supports to ensure that program data is within limits.
- Use derived types and put checks in the applicable defined assignment procedures.
- Use static analysis to identify whether numeric conversion will lose information.

## **Fortran.8 String Termination [CJM]**

### **Fortran.8.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.8.1 Applicability to Fortran**

This vulnerability is not applicable to Fortran since strings are not terminated by a special character.

## **Fortran.9 Buffer Boundary Violation [HCB]**

### **Fortran.9.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.9.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran does not mandate array index checking to verify in-bounds array references. Thus, an array reference with subscripts may be out-of-bounds.

Fortran does not mandate that array sizes be checked with whole-array assignments. Thus, a size mismatch could occur.

When a whole-array assignment occurs to define an allocatable array, the allocatable array is resized, if needed, to the correct size.

When a character assignment occurs to define a character entity and a size mismatch occurs, the assignment has a blank-fill (if the value is too short) or truncate (if the value is too long) semantic. If the character entity being defined is allocatable, it is resized if needed to be the correct size.

When an allocatable array is to be explicitly resized, the `swap_alloc` intrinsic procedure may be used to efficiently obtain a larger amount of memory.

### **Fortran.9.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use bounds checking when provided by the processor. Bounds checking should be done during development, and may be done during production for portions of the program where the effect on performance is not too great.
- Array bounds should be obtained from array inquiry intrinsics whenever needed. Use explicit interfaces and assumed-shape arrays to ensure that array bounds information is passed to all procedures where needed, including dummy arguments and automatic arrays.
- If not possible to obtain array bounds from array intrinsics, values to be used as array indices should be otherwise verified before use.
- Where a buffer is of type character and data of different lengths are expected, use allocatable character variables to allow the processor to allocate the correct length as needed.
- With statically-sized character variables, use intrinsic assignment rather than explicit loops to assign data so the truncate-or-blank-fill semantic protects against storage outside the assigned variable.

## **Fortran.10 Unchecked Array Indexing [XYZ]**

### **Fortran.10.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.10.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Using an array index outside its bounds is prohibited, but the standard does not require the processor to check for this.

#### **Fortran.10.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Many implementations include an optional facility for bounds checking. These may be incomplete for a dummy argument that is an explicit-shape or assumed-size array because of passing only the address of such an object. It is therefore preferable to use an assumed-shape array. The performance of assumed-shape arrays was improved in Fortran 2008 with the introduction of the `contiguous` attribute.
- During development of a code, bounds checking should be enabled throughout. During production running, bounds checking should be disabled only for program units that are critical for performance.
- Use whole array assignment, operations, and intrinsics where possible. While this is helpful, the standard does not require the processor to check for an array assignment with shape disagreement, see [XYW].

## **Fortran.11 Unchecked Array Copying [XYW]**

### **Fortran.11.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.11.1 Applicability to Fortran**

An array assignment with shape disagreement is prohibited, but the standard does not require the processor to check for this.

## **Fortran.11.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Many implementations include an optional facility for bounds checking, which may include checks for shape disagreement. The recommendations re bounds checking [XYZ] are therefore also applicable for unchecked array copying.

## **Fortran.12 Pointer Casting and Pointer Type Changes [HFC]**

### **Fortran.12.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.12.1 Applicability to Fortran**

This vulnerability is not applicable to Fortran. There is no mechanism for associating a data pointer with a procedure pointer. A non-polymorphic pointer is declared with a type and may be associated only with an object of its type. A polymorphic pointer that is not unlimited polymorphic is declared with a type and may be associated only with an object of its type or an extension of its type. An unlimited polymorphic pointer can be used to reference its target only by using a type with which the type of its target is compatible in a `select type` construct. These restrictions are enforced during compilation.

## **Fortran.13 Pointer Arithmetic [RVG]**

### **Fortran.13.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.13.1 Applicability to Fortran**

This vulnerability is not applicable to Fortran. There is no mechanism for pointer arithmetic in Fortran.

## **Fortran.14 Null Pointer Dereference [XYH]**

### **Fortran.14.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.14.1 Applicability to Fortran**

A Fortran pointer must not be referenced when its status is nullified. This is the result of a pointer being in a nullify statement, or of the pointer being pointer assigned to the null intrinsic procedure.

#### **Fortran.14.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Some implementations include an optional facility for pointer checking. During development of a code, pointer checking should be enabled throughout. During production running, pointer checking should be disabled only for program units that are critical for performance.
- The associate intrinsic procedure returns the status of a pointer, of pointing to a valid target or not. This procedure should be used to determine the status of a pointer before dereferencing the pointer whenever there is doubt of the pointer's status.

## **Fortran.15 Dangling Reference to Heap [XYK]**

### **Fortran.15.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.15.1 Applicability to Fortran**

This vulnerability is applicable to Fortran because it has pointers, and separate allocate and deallocate statements for them.

## **Fortran.15.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use allocatable objects in preference to pointer objects whenever the facilities of allocatables are sufficient.
- For pointers, limit the use of the `save` attribute to cases where it is essential.
- When deallocating a pointer target, test for successful deallocation by using the `stat=` option.

## **Fortran.16 Arithmetic Wrap-around Error [FIF]**

### **Fortran.16.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.16.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran provides no description of wrap-around error of integer overflow, nor any way to detect it.

### **Fortran.16.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the intrinsic `selected_int_kind` to select an integer kind value that will be adequate for all anticipated needs, including long into the future.

## **Fortran.17 Using Shift Operations for Multiplication and Division [PIK]**

### **Fortran.17.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This subsection will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.17.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran provides bit manipulation through intrinsic procedures that operate on integer variables. Specifically, both arithmetic and logical shifts are provided as intrinsic procedures with integer operands.

### **Fortran.17.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- The integer variables should be separated into those on which bit operations are performed and those on which integer arithmetic is performed.
- Do not use shift intrinsics where integer multiplication or division is intended.

## **Fortran.18 Sign Extension Error [XZI]**

### **Fortran.18.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.18.1 Applicability to Fortran**

This vulnerability is not applicable to Fortran. Fortran does not have unsigned data types. The processor is responsible for converting a value correctly when a smaller size integer's value is assigned to a larger sized integer variable.

## **Fortran.19 Choice of Clear Names [NAI]**

### **Fortran.19.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.19.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran is a single-case language; upper case and lower case are treated identically by the standard in names.

Names may include underscores. The number of consecutive underscores is significant but difficult to see.

When implicit typing is in effect, a misspelling of a name results in a new variable.

Fortran has no reserved names. Language keywords are permitted as names of variables.

## **Fortran.19.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Declare all variables and use `implicit none`. This means that a misspelled name is likely to lead to an error that is found.
- Do not try to distinguish names by case only.
- Do not use consecutive underscores in a name.
- Do not use keywords as names.

## **Fortran.20 Dead Store [WXQ]**

### **Fortran.20.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.20.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran provides assignment so this is applicable.

### **Fortran.20.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use a compiler, or other analysis tool, that provides a warning for this.
- Where a variable is assigned a value to communicate with a device or process unknown to the compiler, give the variable the volatile attribute.
- Do not use similar names in nested scopes.

## **Fortran.21 Unused Variable [YZS]**

### **Fortran.21.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.21.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran has separate declaration and use of variables and does not require that all variables declared be used, so this vulnerability applies.

### **Fortran.21.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use a compiler that can detect a variable that is declared but not used.

## **Fortran.22 Identifier Name Reuse [YOW]**

### **Fortran.22.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.22.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran has several situations where nested scopes occur. These include:

- Module procedures are nested within their module host.
- Internal procedures are nested within their (procedure) host.
- A block structure (`block` or `do concurrent`) might contain a declarative section allowing nested scope to declare new names within the host scope.
- An implied `do` loop may contain a nested scope.

Nested procedures access their host's variables via *host association*.

## **Fortran.22.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- If possible, do not reuse a name within a nested scope.
- If not possible to avoid, clearly comment the distinction between the similarly-named variables.

## **Fortran.23 Namespace Issues [BJL]**

### **Fortran.23.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.23.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran does not have namespaces. However, when implicit typing is used within a scope, and a module is accessed via use association without an only list, a similar issue may arise.

Specifically, a variable appearing but not explicitly declared may have a name that is the same as a name that was added to the module after the module was first used. This can cause the declaration, meaning, and the scope of the affected variable to change.

### **Fortran.23.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Never use implicit typing. Always declare all variables.
- Use an only clause on every use statement, and use renaming when needed to avoid intentional name collisions.

## **Fortran.24 Initialization of Variables [LAV]**

### **Fortran.24.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.24.1 Applicability to Fortran**

The value of a variable that has never had a value stored in it is undefined. It is the programmer's responsibility to guard against use of uninitialized variables.

### **Fortran.24.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Favour explicit initialization for objects of intrinsic type and default initialization for objects of derived type. When providing default initialization, provide default values for all components.
- Use type value constructors to provide values for all components.
- Use compiler options, where available, to find instances of use of uninitialized variables.
- Use other tools, for example, a debugger or flow analyzer, to detect instances of the use of uninitialized variables.

## **Fortran.25 Operator Precedence/Order of Evaluation [JCW]**

### **Fortran.25.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.25.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran specifies an order of precedence for operators. The order for the intrinsic operators is well known except among the logical operators `.not.`, `.and.`, `.or.`, `.eqv.`, `.neqv.`. These operators have the same precedence order for defined operations. In addition, any monadic defined operator, the defined operator `//`, and any dyadic defined operator have a position in this order, but these positions are not well known.

## **Fortran.25.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use parentheses and partial-result variables within expressions to avoid any reliance on a precedence that is not well known.

## **Fortran.26 Side-effects and Order of Evaluation [SAM]**

### **Fortran.26.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.26.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran functions are permitted to have side effects. Within some expressions, the order of invocation of functions is not specified. The standard explicitly requires that evaluating any part of an expression does not change the value of any other part of the expression, but there is no requirement for this to be diagnosed by the compiler.

Further, the Fortran standard allows a processor to ignore any part of an expression that is not needed to compute the value of the expression. Processors vary as to how aggressively they take advantage of this permission.

### **Fortran.26.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Replace any function with a side effect by a subroutine so that its place in the sequence of computation is certain.
- Assign function values to temporary variables and use the temporary variables in the original expression.
- Declare a function as pure whenever possible.

## **Fortran.27 Likely Incorrect Expression [KOA]**

### **Fortran.27.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.27.1 Applicability to Fortran**

While Fortran is not as susceptible to this issue as some languages (largely because assignment = is not an operator), nevertheless, some situations exist where a single character, present or absent, could change the meaning of an expression. For example, assignment could be confused with pointer assignment when the name on the left-hand side has the pointer attribute.

#### **Fortran.27.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Simplify expressions.
- Carefully check for assignment versus pointer assignment when assigning to names having the pointer attribute.
- Use dummy argument intents to assist the compiler's ability to detect such occurrences.
- On those rare occasions where a null executable statement is needed, use an unnumbered continue statement.

## **Fortran.28 Dead and Deactivated Code [XYQ]**

### **Fortran.28.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.28.1 Applicability to Fortran**

There is no requirement in the Fortran standard for compilers to detect code that cannot be executed. It is entirely the task of the programmer to remove such code.

## **Fortran.28.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Some dead or deactivated code may be detected at compile time. Use a compiler, or other tool, that can detect such code.
- It is desirable for testing to execute every statement and this can be checked with a coverage tool. The developer should justify each case of statements not being executed.
- If desirable to preserve older code for documentation (for example, of an older numerical method), the code should be commented out. Many modern editors can transform a block of code to comments. Alternatively, a source code control package can be used to preserve the text of older versions of a program.

## **Fortran.29 Switch Statements and Static Analysis [CLL]**

### **Fortran.29.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.29.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran has a `select case` construct, but control never flows from one alternative to another. However, Fortran does not have a general-purpose enumeration type, so finite mapping of cases to alternative blocks is possible only for the (uninteresting) case of the type `logical`.

### **Fortran.29.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Control cannot flow from one case to another, and branching is not allowed by the standard. If code must be repeated, it may be coded as an internal procedure and called from each case where it is needed.

- Ensure that all possible cases are covered by `case` clauses in `select case` constructs, including those for which no code is to be executed.
- If there are cases that are expected never to occur, cover these with a `case default` clause to ensure that unexpected cases are detected and processed, perhaps emitting an error message.

## **Fortran.30 Demarcation of Control Flow [EOJ]**

### **Fortran.30.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.30.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Modern Fortran supports block constructs for choice and iteration, so use of non-block forms is unnecessary.

The iteration form that is non-block is the non-block form of the `do-loop` (which is deprecated). Especially when nested and branches within the loop are used, this form can be very confusing.

The choice form that is non-block involves the use of arithmetic `if` statements, `go to` statements, and labels.

#### **Fortran.30.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the block form of the `do-loop`, together with `cycle` and `exit` statements, rather than the non-block `do-loop`.
- Use the `if` construct or `select case` construct whenever possible, rather than statements that rely on labels, that is, the arithmetic `if` and `go to` statements.
- Use names on block constructs to provide matching of initial statement and end statement for each construct. Compilers can give better error messages when the construct name can be included.

## **Fortran.31 Loop Control Variables [TEX]**

### **Fortran.31.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.31.1 Applicability to Fortran**

A Fortran enumerated do loop has the trip increment and trip count established when the do statement is executed. These do not change during the execution of the loop.

The programmer is required to ensure that the value of the iteration variable does not change during the execution of the loop, but the compiler may be unable to detect violation of this rule. For example, an iteration variable might be changed by a procedure referenced within the loop.

#### **Fortran.31.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Ensure that the value of the iteration variable does not change during the execution of the loop.

## **Fortran.32 Off-by-one Error [XZH]**

### **Fortran.32.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.32.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran is not very liable to this vulnerability because it permits explicit declarations of upper and lower bounds of arrays, which allows bounds that are relevant to the application to be used.

This vulnerability is applicable to Fortran codes that are interfaced to C, since arrays in C always have the lower bound 0.

## **Fortran.32.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Declare array bounds to fit the natural bounds of the problem. For example, latitude can be declared with bounds -90 to 90, while longitude can be declared with bounds -180 to 180.
- If interfacing with C, consider declaring the arrays involved with the lower bound 0 so that the index values correspond.

## **Fortran.33 Structured Programming [EWD]**

### **Fortran.33.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.33.1 Applicability to Fortran**

As the first language to be formally standardized, Fortran has older constructs that allow an unstructured programming style to be employed.

These features have been superseded by better methods. The Fortran standard continues to support these archaic forms to allow older programs to function. Some of them are obsolescent, which means that the compiler is required to be able to detect and report their usage.

### **Fortran.33.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Replace unstructured code with modern structured alternatives whenever possible.
- Use the compiler or other tool to detect archaic usage.

## **Fortran.34 Passing Parameters and Return Values [CSJ]**

### **Fortran.34.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.34.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran does not specify the argument passing mechanism, but rather specifies the rules of *argument association*. These rules may be implemented by either pass-by-reference or by copy-in/copy-out.

More restrictive rules apply to coarrays and to arrays with the contiguous attribute. Rules for procedures declared to have a C binding follow the rules of C.

### **Fortran.34.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Specify explicit interfaces by placing procedures in modules or by using internal procedures. Explicit interfaces allow compilers to check the type, kind, and rank of arguments and return values.
- Specify argument intents to allow further checking of argument usage.
- Specify pure (or elemental) for procedures where possible for greater clarity of the programmer's intentions.

## **Fortran.35 Dangling References to Stack Frames [DCM]**

### **Fortran.35.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.35.1 Applicability to Fortran**

A Fortran pointer is vulnerable to this issue when a local target does not have the `save` attribute and the pointer has a lifetime longer than the target. However, the intended functionality is often available with allocatables. The Fortran standard explicitly states that the lifetime of an allocatable function result extends to its use in the expression that invoked the call.

### **Fortran.35.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Do not pointer-assign pointers to local targets whenever the pointer has a longer lifetime than the target.
- Use allocatable variables in preference to pointers whenever they provide sufficient functionality.

## **Fortran.36 Subprogram Signature Mismatch [OTR]**

### **Fortran.36.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.36.1 Applicability to Fortran**

The Fortran standard requires that signatures match, but does not require that the compiler diagnoses mismatches. However, compilers do check this when the interface is explicit.

Explicit interfaces are provided automatically when procedures are placed in modules or are internal procedures within other procedures.

### **Fortran.36.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use explicit interfaces, preferably by placing procedures inside a module or another procedure.
- Since this may be checked during compilation with no execution overhead, use a compiler that checks all signatures.
- Use a compiler or other tool to create explicit interface blocks for external procedures.

## **Fortran.37 Recursion [GDL]**

### **Fortran.37.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.37.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran supports recursion, so this vulnerability applies. Possibly recursive procedures must be marked with the recursive attribute, thereby leaving some documentation of the programmer's intentions.

### **Fortran.37.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Prefer iteration to recursion when evaluating mathematical quantities, even when the recursive formulation appears more attractive.
- When recursion is employed, clearly record why and show how the recursion will be limited.

## **Fortran.38 Ignored Error Status and Unhandled Exceptions [OYB]**

### **Fortran.38.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.38.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Many Fortran statements and some intrinsic procedures return a status value. In most circumstances, status error values returned from statements that are not received by the invoking program result in the error termination of the program. Some programmers, however, in order to “keep going” accept the status value but do not examine it. This results in a program crash without an explanation when subsequent steps in the program rely upon the previous statements having completed successfully.

Fortran consistently uses a scheme of status values where zero indicates success, a positive value indicates an error, and a negative value indicates some other information.

Other than via the IEEE modules, Fortran does not support exception handling.

### **Fortran.38.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Code a status value for all statements that support one, and examine the value prior to continuing execution. For faults that cause termination, provide a message to users of the program, perhaps with the help of the error message generated by the statement whose execution generated the error.
- Appropriately treat all status values that may be returned by library procedures.

## **Fortran.39 Termination Strategy [REU]**

### **Fortran.39.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.39.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran distinguishes between normal termination (stop) and error termination (error stop). For a normal termination there are three stages, initiation, synchronization, and completion. This allows images that are still executing to access data on images that have finished and are waiting for synchronization. Error termination on one image causes error termination on the other images.

Therefore, there are three options available to a Fortran program. First, it can detect an error locally and handle it; second, it can detect an error and halt one image; and third, it can detect an error and signal all images to halt.

## **Fortran.39.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Decide upon a strategy for handling errors, and consistently use it across all portions of the program.

## **Fortran.40 Type-breaking Reinterpretation of Data [AMV]**

### **Fortran.40.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.40.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Storage association via `common` or `equivalence` statements, or via the `transfer` intrinsic procedure can cause a type-breaking reinterpretation of data.

### **Fortran.40.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Do not use `common` to share data. Use modules instead.
- Do not use `equivalence` to save storage space. Use allocatable data instead.
- Shun use of the `transfer` intrinsic unless its use is unavoidable, and then document the use carefully.

## **Fortran.41 Memory Leak [XYL]**

### **Fortran.41.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.41.1 Applicability to Fortran**

The use of pointers in Fortran can cause a memory leak.

## **Fortran.41.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Prefer allocatable data items to pointer data items.
- Use `final` routines to free memory resources allocated to a data item.

## **Fortran.42 Templates and Generics [SYM]**

### **Fortran.42.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.42.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran does not support templates or generics, so this vulnerability does not apply.

## **Fortran.43 Inheritance [RIP]**

### **Fortran.43.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.43.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran supports inheritance so this vulnerability applies.

Fortran supports single inheritance only, so the complexities associated with multiple inheritance do not apply.

### **Fortran.43.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Declare a type-bound procedure to be `non_overridable` when necessary to ensure that it is not overridden.
- Provide a component to store the version control identifier of the derived type, together with an accessor routine.

## **Fortran.44 Extra Intrinsic [LRM]**

### **Fortran.44.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.44.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran permits a processor to supply extra intrinsics.

### **Fortran.44.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- When calling an intrinsic or external procedure, specify that it has the `intrinsic` or `external` attribute.

## **Fortran.45 Argument Passing to Library Functions [TRJ]**

### **Fortran.45.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.45.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran allows use of libraries so this vulnerability applies.

### **Fortran.45.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use libraries from reputable sources with reliable documentation and understand the documentation to appreciate the range of acceptable input. This may be especially difficult with procedures for numerical methods.
- Verify arguments to intrinsic procedures when their validity is in doubt.
- Use intrinsics from within `where` statements or blocks so invalid arguments have no effect.

## **Fortran.46 Inter-language Calling [DJS]**

### **Fortran.46.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.46.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran supports interoperating with functions and data that may be specified by means of the C programming language.

### **Fortran.46.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the `iso_c_binding` module, and use the correct constants therein to specify the type kind values needed.
- Use the `value` attribute as needed for dummy arguments.

## **Fortran.47 Dynamically-linked Code and Self-modifying Code [NYY]**

### **Fortran.47.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.47.1 Applicability to Fortran**

The Fortran standard does not discuss the means of program translation, so any use or disuse of dynamically linked libraries is processor dependent.

### **Fortran.47.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- If necessary, read the processor's documentation to effect a static link.

## **Fortran.48 Library Signature [NSQ]**

### **Fortran.48.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.48.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran allows the use of libraries, so this vulnerability applies.

### **Fortran.48.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use interface blocks for the library code if they are available.
- Read the documentation for the library code carefully.

## **Fortran.49 Unanticipated Exceptions from Library Routines [HJW]**

### **Fortran.49.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.49.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Fortran allows the use of libraries so this vulnerability applies.

### **Fortran.49.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use whatever processor dependent mechanism exists to handle library generated exceptions.

## **Fortran.50 Pre-processor Directives [NMP]**

### **Fortran.50.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.50.1 Applicability to Fortran**

While the Fortran standard does not include pre-processing, some Fortran programmers employ the C pre-processor `cpp`.

#### **Fortran.50.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use of the C pre-processor `cpp` should be avoided.

## **Fortran.51 Suppression of Language-defined Run-time Checking [MXB]**

### **Fortran.51.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

#### **Fortran.51.1 Applicability to Fortran**

The Fortran standard has many requirements that cannot be checked at compile time and does not require that any of them be checked at run time.

#### **Fortran.51.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- During the development of a code, use all run-time checks that are available. During production running, continue to enable these checks except when critical for performance.

## **Fortran.52 Provision of Inherently Unsafe Operations [SKL]**

### **Fortran.52.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.52.1 Applicability to Fortran**

The types of actual arguments and corresponding dummy arguments are required to agree, but few compilers check this unless the procedure has an explicit interface.

The intrinsic function `transfer` provides the facility to transform an object of one type to an object of another type that has the same physical representation.

A variable of one type may be storage associated through the use of `common` and `equivalence` with a variable of another type. Defining the value of one causes the value of the other to become undefined.

There are facilities for invoking C functions from Fortran and Fortran procedures from C. While there are rules about type agreement for the arguments, it is unlikely that implementations will check them.

### **Fortran.52.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- For each external procedure, provide an interface block or replace the procedure by an internal or module procedure.
- Avoid the use of the intrinsic function `transfer`.
- Avoid the use of `common` and `equivalence`.
- If the processor has facilities for checking the types of the arguments in calls between Fortran and C, make use of them during development and in production running unless performance would be severely affected.

## **Fortran.53 Obscure Language Features [BRS]**

### **Fortran.53.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.53.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Any use of deleted and obsolescent features, see Fortran.57, might produce semantic results not in accord with the modern programmer's expectations. They might be beyond the knowledge of modern code reviewers.

Variables may be storage associated through the use of `common` and `equivalence`. Defining the value of one alters the value of the other. They may be of different types, in which case defining the value of one causes the value of the other to become undefined.

Supplying an initial value for a local variable implies that it has the `save` attribute, which might be unexpected by the developer.

If implicit typing is used, a simple spelling error might unexpectedly introduce a new name. The intended effect on the given variable will be lost without any compiler diagnostic.

### **Fortran.53.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the processor to detect and identify obsolescent or deleted features and replace them by better methods.
- Avoid the use of `common` and `equivalence`.
- Specify the `save` attribute when supplying an initial value.
- Use `implicit none` to require explicit declarations.

## **Fortran.54 Unspecified Behaviour [BQF]**

### **Fortran.54.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.54.1 Applicability to Fortran**

A Fortran processor is unconstrained unless the program uses only those forms and relations specified by the Fortran standard, and gives them the meaning described therein.

The behaviour of non-standard code can change between processors.

A processor is permitted to provide additional intrinsic procedures. One on these might be invoked instead of an intended external procedure with the same name.

### **Fortran.54.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use processor options to detect and report use of non-standard features.
- Obtain diagnostics from more than one source, for example, use code checking tools.
- Specify the `external` attribute for all external procedures invoked.

## **Fortran.55 Undefined Behaviour [EWF]**

### **Fortran.55.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.55.1 Applicability to Fortran**

This vulnerability is described by Fortran.54 Unspecified Behaviour [BQF].

## **Fortran.56 Implementation-defined Behaviour [FAB]**

### **Fortran.56.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.56.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Implementation-defined behaviour is known within the Fortran standard as processor-dependent. Annex A.2 of ISO/IEC 1539-1 (2010) contains a list of processor dependencies.

Different processors might process processor dependencies differently. Relying on one behaviour is not guaranteed by the Fortran standard.

Reliance on one behaviour where the standard explicitly allows several is not portable. The behaviour is liable to change between different processors.

### **Fortran.56.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Do not rely on the behaviour of processor dependencies. See Annex A.2 of ISO/IEC 1539-1 (2010) for a complete list.

## **Fortran.57 Deprecated Language Features [MEM]**

### **Fortran.57.0 Status, History and Bibliography**

This will be removed before publication.

### **Fortran.57.1 Applicability to Fortran**

Because they are still used in some programs, many compilers support features of Fortran 90 that were deleted in later versions of the Fortran standard. These are listed in Annex B.1 of the Fortran standard. In addition, there are features of Fortran 90 that are still in the standard but are redundant and may be replaced by better methods. They are described in small font in the standard and are summarized in Annex B.2. Any use of these deleted and obsolescent features might produce semantic results not in accord with the modern programmer's expectations. They might be beyond the knowledge of modern code reviewers.

### **Fortran.57.2 Guidance to Fortran Users**

Fortran developers can avoid the vulnerability or mitigate its ill effects in the following ways:

- Use the processor to detect and identify obsolescent or deleted features and replace them by better methods.

## **Fortran.58 Implications for Standardization**

### **Fortran.58.1 Implications for Standardization**

Future standardization efforts should consider:

- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report integer overflows.
- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report out-of-bounds subscripts and array-shape mismatches in assignment statements.
- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report invalid pointer references.
- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report the occurrence within a submitted program unit of an invalid use of character constants as format specifiers.
- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report the occurrence within a submitted program unit of tests for equality between two objects of type real or complex.
- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report the occurrence within a submitted program unit of pointer assignment of a pointer whose lifetime is known to be longer than the lifetime of the target.
- Requiring that processors have the ability to detect and report the occurrence within a submitted program unit of the reuse of a name within a nested scope.
- Providing a means to specify explicitly a limited set of entities to be accessed by host association.
- Identifying, deprecating, and replacing features whose use is problematic where there is a safer and clearer alternative in the modern revisions of the language or in current practice in other languages.