| MB/ <br> $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$ | Line number | Clause/ <br> Subclause | Paragraph/ <br> Figure/Table | Type of comment $^{2}$ | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JP} \\ & 001 \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 03.141 | Page 21 | ed | The phrase "and if it is a variable defined" is unclear. | Change it to "and defined if it is a variable". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { P } 33 \\ \text { L } 9-10 \end{array}$ | 04.04.2 | 1 | ED | Fortran 2008 is missing from the list of standards that did not include the current deleted features | Add "Fortran 2008" to the list. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JP} \\ & 003 \end{aligned}$ | 26 | 05.03.6 | Page 41 | ed | Although the terms "stopped image" and "failed image" appear in the clause 5.3.6 and their references exist in Index, "active image" does not appear in the clause then its reference does not exist in Index. It would be more convenient to use the term "active image" (not "active" solely) in the clause 5.3.6. | Change "All other images are active" to, for example, "An image that is neither a stopped image nor a failed image is an active image". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P 46 } \\ & \text { L 10-12 } \end{aligned}$ | 05.04.8 | 5 | TE | The text "A nonallocatable coarray that is a local variable of a subprogram" represents a case that should be covered by other paragraphs in the subclause. | Delete this text and add a paragraph after paragraph 3 covering the case of a coarray being an associate entity in as ASSOCIATE, SELECT RANK, or SELECT TYPE construct, or host associated. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JP} \\ & 005 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 07.04.3.1 | Page 62 | ed | The phrase "if it is does not appear" is incorrect. | Delete "is" in the phrase. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JP} \\ & 006 \end{aligned}$ | 23-24 | 07.04.3.2 | Page 62 | ed | The order of intrinsic functions list does not correspond to their descriptions in the sentence. | Change" RADIX (16.9.154) and RANGE (16.9.158)" to "RANGE (16.9.158) and RADIX (16.9.154)", or change the order of their descriptions list. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 007 \end{aligned}$ | 100:16+ | 08.05.3 | Para 1 | ed | Only variables and components can have the ALLOCATABLE attribute, but people get confused over ALLOCATABLE function results. The function result variable is ALLOCATABLE, but the function return value is just a value (it's not a variable). | After para 1 of 8.5.3 add "NOTE 8.3a Only variables and components can have the ALLOCATABLE attribute. The result of referencing a function whose result variable has the ALLOCATABLE attribute is a value that does not itself have the ALLOCATABLE attribute." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 008 \end{aligned}$ | 123:26 | 08.08 | Para 4 | ed | In 8.8 IMPORT statement, the BNF and paragraphs 1-3 use the term "IMPORT statement" to refer to any of the four forms, but paragraph 4 uses it to refer to the first form. | In para 4, change "IMPORT statement with no" to "IMPORT statement with no specifier or". |  |

[^0]| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MB} / \\ & \mathrm{NC}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Line number | Clause/ <br> Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ${ }^{2}$ | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 009 \end{aligned}$ | 128:24 | 08.10.02.4 | Para 4 | ed | This para says "A nonpointer object of a derived type that is not a numeric sequence or character sequence type shall be associated only with nonpointer objects of the same type with the same type parameter values." Noting that if a common block object is of a derived type, the type is required to have the BIND attribute or the SEQUENCE attribute (C8118) and that BIND and SEQUENCE types are not permitted to have type parameters, the words "with the same type parameter values" are vacuous and should be deleted. | In para 4, delete ""with the same type parameter values". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P } 146 \\ & \text { L } 4 \end{aligned}$ | 09.07.3.2 | 10 | TE | DEALLOCATE description does not require all images to be consistent | Add requirement; see 17-142. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { JP } \\ & 011 \end{aligned}$ |  | 09.07.3.2 | NOTE 9.24, page 146 | ed | The phrase "synchronization of all images" is not exact. | Change "all images" to "all active images in the current team". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 012 \end{aligned}$ | 169:1- | 10.01.12 | Note 10.34 | ed | The argument of LOG10 needs to be a real. The result of RADIX is integer. | Change "RADIX(0.0)" to "REAL(RADIX (0.0))". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 013 \end{aligned}$ | 202:23 | 11.01.10.4 | Para 1 | ed | y has not been declared. | Change "(y)" to "(x)", twice. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GB} \\ & 014 \end{aligned}$ | 202:47+ | 11.01.10.4 | Para 2 | ed | lb1, ub1, lb2 are not declared to be integer | Add line "INTEGER lb1, ub1, lb2". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 015 \end{aligned}$ | 203:6 | 11.01.10.4 | Para 2 | ed | "IF (ANY(a(:,j))==0) EXIT" is not correct | Change to "IF (ANY(a(:, j)==0)) EXIT". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P } 188 \\ & \text { L 7-9 } \end{aligned}$ | 11.01.5.2 | 1 | TE | Redefinition of active team variable provides no useable functionality, introduces runtime overhead, and allows undefined behaviour. | Prohibit redefinition of an active team variable. Discussion and edits in paper 17-146. |  |

[^1]| MB/ <br> $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$ | Line number | Clause/ <br> Subclause | Paragraph/ <br> Figure/Table | Type of comment $^{2}$ | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P } 188 \\ & \text { L } 20 \end{aligned}$ | 11.01.5.2 | 5 | TE | Unclear specification of CHANGE TEAM semantics | Discussion and examples in paper 17-148. |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { US } \\ 018 \end{array}$ | various | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11.01.7, 19.4, } \\ & 19.5 \end{aligned}$ | several | TE | Locality specifications for DO CONCURRENT construct are entirely superfluous | Delete locality specifications for DO CONCURRENT construct; see 17-144 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JP} \\ & 019 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 11.01.7.5 | Page 194 | ed | "inquired about" should be "inquired about or changed". | Change "inquired about" to "inquired about or changed". |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{JP} \\ 020 \end{array}$ | 9 | 11.06.11 | Page 218 | ed | "a positive integer value" should be "a processordependent positive integer value". | Change "a positive integer value" to "a processordependent positive integer value". |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{JP} \\ 021 \end{array}$ |  | 11.06 .4 | NOTE 11.39, page 211 | ed | The second sentence of the second paragraph is incorrect. | Change "image 1 will wait for each of the other images to complete its use of the data" to "image1 will wait for each of the other images to execute the statement SYNC IMAGES(1)". |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { JP } \\ 022 \end{array}$ |  | 11.06 .4 | NOTE 11.39, page 211 | ed | The function name "iNUM_IMAGES" in the second program is incorrect. | Change "iNUM_IMAGES" to "NUM_IMAGES". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 023 \end{aligned}$ | 278:15 | 13.07.2.3.6 | Para. 5 | te | The hexadecimal indicator OX should appear before the first digit and it does in NOTE 13.14. See also 13.7.2.3.2 para 7. | Before "x_0" add "0X". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 024 \end{aligned}$ | 278:23+ | 13.07.2.3.6 | Para. 5 | te | The choice of binary exponent is processor dependent. | At the end of para 5 add "The choice of binary exponent is processor dependent. If the most significant binary digits of the internal value are b_0b_1b_2..., the binary exponent might make the value of $x \_0$ be that of $b \_0, b \_0 b \_1, b \_0 b \_1 b \_2$, or b_0b_1b_2b_3." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 025 \end{aligned}$ | 278:24+ | 13.07.2.3.6 | Para. 6 | ed | The final value in NOTE 13.14 does not match the first value on the line. | In the final value in NOTE 13.14, change " 3 " to " 4 ". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 026 \end{aligned}$ | 278:24+ | 13.07.2.3.6 | Para. 6 | ed | To illustrate that the leading hex digit need not be 1, add another example to NOTE 13. | Add extra line at the end of NOTE 13.14: "2.375 EX0.1 0X2.6PO". |  |

[^2]2 Type of comment: ge=general te = technical ed = editorial

| MB/ NC ${ }^{1}$ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ${ }^{2}$ | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 027 \end{aligned}$ | 303:21-23 | 15.04.2.2 | Para 1 | ed | The list is not complete because an explicit interface is required for a procedure that is an actual argument in a reference to a procedure and corresponds to a dummy argument that is a pure procedure. | Replace item (1) by <br> "(1) a reference to the procedure appears with an argument keyword (15.5.2), <br> (1a) the procedure is used in a context that requires it to be pure (15.7)," |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|} \text { US } \\ 028 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P 318 } \\ & \text { L 31-33 } \end{aligned}$ | 15.05.2.4 | 15 | TE | This paragraph indicates that assumed-rank dummy arguments retain the bounds of the actual argument. <br> For consistency with assumed-shape, lowerbounds should be reset to 1 . | Replace "the lower and upper bounds of.....except that when" with <br> "the lower bound of each dimension is 1 . When" It makes the whole paragraph read: <br> "An actual argument of any rank may correspond to an assumed-rank dummy argument. The rank and shape of the dummy argument are the rank and shape of the corresponding actual argument. If the rank is nonzero, the lower bound of each dimension is 1 . When the actual argument is assumed-size, the upper bound of the last dimension of the dummy argument is 2 less than the lower bound of that dimension." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 029 \end{aligned}$ | 318:30-35 | 15.05.2.4 | Para 15 | te | For a nonpointer nonallocatable assumed-rank dummy argument, [318:30-35] says that the bounds are those of the actual argument. If the actual argument is a nonpointer nonallocatable array, the lower bounds in its C descriptor are required to be zero (see [497:14-15]). Its actual lower bounds would need to be passed in a separate part of the C descriptor. We do not think this was intended. TS 29113, clause 6.3, para 1 says that the extents are assumed in this case, not the bounds. | Replace "The rank ... of that dimension." with <br> "The rank and extents of the dummy argument are the rank and extents of the corresponding actual argument. The lower bound of each dimension of the dummy argument is equal to one, and the upper bound is equal to the extent, except <br> that when the actual argument is assumed-size, the upper bound of the last dimension of the dummy argument is equal to minus one." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 030 \end{aligned}$ | 342:5+ | 16.02.1 | Para 4+ | ed | The actual arguments corresponding to the arguments MOLD and ROUND of the elemental intrinsic function OUT_OF_RANGE are required to | Add paragraph |  |

[^3]| MB/ <br> $\mathrm{NC}^{1}$ | Line number | Clause/ <br> Subclause | Paragraph/ Figure/Table | Type of comment ${ }^{2}$ | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |  | be scalar, just as for dummy arguments KIND of other elementals, but this is not said in 16.2.1. | "An actual argument that corresponds to the dummy argument MOLD or ROUND of the intrinsic function OUT_OF_RANGE shall be scalar." |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { GB } \\ 031 \end{array}$ | 416:17 | 16.09.146 | Para 4 | ed | The result of OUT_OF_RANGE is incorrectly limited to being scalar. | Delete "scalar". |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathrm{GB} \\ 032 \end{array}$ | 373:25+ | 16.09.55 | Para. 3 | ed | The list of arguments does not include KIND. | Add the line "KIND (optional) shall be a scalar integer constant expression." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 033 \end{aligned}$ | 385:14 | 16.09.78 | Para 8 | ed | There is a typographical error here. | Change "6,]," to "6],". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 034 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P } 448 \\ & \text { L18 } \end{aligned}$ | 17.02 | 2 | ED | IEEE_MODES TYPE is defined in module IEEE_EXCEPTIONS, not IEEE_ARITHMETIC. | Move to paragraph 3. Edits in paper 17-145. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 035 \end{aligned}$ | 450:2-3 | 17.03 | Para 7 | ed | "the relational operation" is not defined. | Change "the relational operation" to "the intrinsic equality or inequality operation between x_1 and x_2". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GB } \\ & 036 \end{aligned}$ | 462:16 | 17.11.17 | Para 6 | ed | The bullet list omits the case when the arguments have the same value. | Delete bullet 4 and add new final bullet: "otherwise, the result is either X or Y (processor dependent)." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{GB} \\ & 037 \end{aligned}$ | 462:34 | 17.11.18 | Para 6 | ed | The bullet list omits the case when the arguments have the same value and can be simplified by referring to IEEE_MAX_NUM. | Replace the last three bullets with the bullet: "otherwise, the result has the value of IEEE_MAX_NUM(X,Y)." |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { GB } \\ 038 \end{array}$ | 463:9 | 17.11.19 | Para 6 | ed | Inconsistent format | Remove new line after "Result Value.". |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GB} \\ & 039 \end{aligned}$ | 463:15 | 17.11.19 | Para 7 | ed | The bullet list omits the case when the arguments have the same value. | Delete bullet 4 and add new final bullet: "otherwise, the result is either X or Y (processor dependent)." |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MB/ } \\ & \mathrm{NC}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Line number | Clause/ Subclause | Paragraph/ <br> Figure/Table | Type of comment ${ }^{2}$ | Comments | Proposed change | Observations of the secretariat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \mathrm{GB} \\ 040 \end{array}$ | 463:33 | 17.11.20 | Para 6 | ed | The bullet list omits the case when the arguments have the same value and can be simplified by referring to IEEE_MIN_NUM. | Replace the last three bullets with the bullet: "otherwise, the result has the value of IEEE_MIN_NUM(X,Y)." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { US } \\ & 041 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { P } 483 \\ \text { L } 19 \end{array}$ | 18.02.1 | 1 | ED | There are references to Table 15.1 and Table 15.2. These should be Table 18.1 and Table 18.2. | Change table references to 18.1 and 18.2 respectively. <br> Edits in paper 17-147. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GB} \\ & 042 \end{aligned}$ | 483:19 | 18.02.1 | Para 1 | ed | The references to the tables are incorrect | The references should be: Table 18.1 and Table 18.2. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 043 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} 516 \\ & \mathrm{~L} 13 \end{aligned}$ | 19.04 | 1 | TE | ASYNCHRONOUS and VOLATILE in BLOCK constructs imprecisely described | Replace "and" with "or", include host association; see 17-143 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GB} \\ & 044 \end{aligned}$ | 538:39+ | A. 2 | Para 1 | te | The choice of binary exponent in EX output editing is processor dependent. | After the bullet for "the effect of a IEEE Nan ..." add bullet: "the choice of binary exponent in EX output editing (13.7.2.3.6)." |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } \\ & 045 \end{aligned}$ | P xvii | Foreward | 6 | ED | Corrigendum 4 to Fortran 2008 is missing from the documents incorporated into the new standard. | Add "ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010/Cor. 4:2016" to the list in the second sentence of para 6. |  |
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